

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,110	11/12/2003	Jeffrey Scott Brown	03-0847	6491
24319 7590 04/27/2007 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
1621 BARBER LANE			LAM, DAVID	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,110	BROWN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lam	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE Strensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice unit of the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in the cl	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8-9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docenous of the priority docenous of the priority docenous of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/03. 	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 1-10 and cancelled claims 11-20 in the reply filed on 3/21/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "such that a signal produced by self-timing producing circuit and detected by the self-timing signal reading circuit approximates timing behavior of the memory array." in lines 7-9 is unclear, it is unclear what is detected by the self-timing reading circuit. It appears that should be to -- such that a signal produced by self-timing producing circuit is detected by the self-timing signal reading circuit approximates timing behavior of the memory array. --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2827

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maki (6,870,777).

Regarding to claims 1-5, 10, Maki disclose an integrated circuit comprising: a memory array having a first side; a self-timing signal producing circuit (25 and/or 27) located at the first side; a self-timing reading circuit (14) located at the first side; a routing path (see at least Figs. 2-3) connecting the self-timing signal producing circuit to self-timing reading circuit, wherein the routing path extends into the memory array for sufficient length such that a signal produced by self-timing producing circuit is detected by the self-timing signal reading circuit approximates timing behavior of the memory array; wherein the self-timing signal producing circuit is a dummy row decoder (25); wherein the self-timing signal producing circuit is a dummy cell (27); wherein the self-timing signal reading circuit is a dummy sense amplifier (14); wherein the memory array is a segment of a large segmented memory array; wherein the routing path extends into the memory array to a point that is at some intermediate location between the first side and a second side of the memory array, such that a wire delay associated with the routing path extending approximates a wide delay that would be experienced on a hypothetical routing path extending

Application/Control Number: 10/706,110

Art Unit: 2827

form the first side to the second side. See at least Figs. 2-6, for example of Col. 4, lines 10-67; Cols. 5-6; Col. 7, lines 1-42, and the related disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maki (6,870,777).

With respect to claims 6-7, Maki discloses all the limitation as applied to claim 5 above and further comprising a second path, wherein the second routing path is routed over a second segment (17). (See at least Fig. 1).

Maki lack an inclusion of wherein the second segment made up of memory cells that are disabled through metal programming. Providing a segment made up of memory cells that are disabled through metal programming would have been known and available in the art to allow late process programming. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to modify Maki accordingly in order to provide memory cells with greater flexibility for later programming process in a semiconductor memory device. NOTE: for at least Col. 9, line 3-10 of Becker (6,674,661) cited to support known position.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,110

Art Unit: 2827

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach all the elements applied to claim 1 and further including the limitation of claim 8.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims XX are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the prior art whether taken singularly or in combination, especially when these limitations are considered within the specific combination claimed, to teach:

Conclusion[®]

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Ashizawa et al. (6,876,587) disclose semiconductor memory device comprising dummy cell array.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1782. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2827

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lam

April 23, 2007

DAVID LÁM PRIMARY FXAMINER